

### REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's attention to this application.

The Office Action allows claims 1-12. The Office Action also rejects claims 13-29 under 35 U.S.C. § 101, as allegedly not meeting a "useful, concrete, and tangible" requirement. The Office Action also suggests referring to the machine accessible medium as a storage medium, to overcome the rejections under § 101.

This response amends the claims accordingly, replacing each occurrence of the term "machine accessible medium" with the term "machine accessible storage medium" (emphasis added). Specifically, those amendments involved independent claim 13 and 21. Claims 14-20 and 22-29 depend ultimately from claims 13 and 21, respectively.

For at least the foregoing reasons, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 should be withdrawn.

### CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in light of the amendments and remarks set forth above. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the phone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 2, 2008

/ Michael R. Barre /  
Michael R. Barré  
Registration No. 44,023  
Patent Attorney  
Intel Americas, Inc.  
(512) 732-3927

Intel Corporation  
c/o Intellevate, LLC  
P.O. Box 52050  
Minneapolis, MN 55402